O. P. 71 & 72—658,414—21-11-30.

O P 71 & 72.

19 32

Department of Ln Sett

OFFICE Ad Prev

STATION Medows

Dis No. 2379

Dated 9-8-32

B-3335/2

To whom sent	Date	Initial
	Given for copying	
	Copied	
	Examined	
	Signed	
	Given for despatch	
	Despatched	
	Indexed	
Pages in the file	To be destroyed on*	

DES... 10 AUG 1932

(SUBJECT)

Social - (South Kanara) - Medicine. Religious - Sale ... Panchamas ... - Hindi ... Panchamas Relief - Hindi ... Govt. ...

* Not to be filled in in the case of permanent records.

J. F. 98—10-9-28.

Land Revenue DEPARTMENT

REMINDER. Returnable Branch. **REPLY.**

To The Collector of South Kanara

COLLECTOR'S OFFICE No. 11 APR

SCALE OF LEVIES 6 APR 1932 LAND SURVEY SETTLEMENT

The undersigned has the honour to invite attention to the communication noted in the margin and to request that this reminder may be returned as early as possible with information as to—

(1) the stage at which the matter now is,

(2) the date by which a reply may be expected, and

(3) the circumstances which have caused the delay.

2. It is requested that the reply to this reminder may be sent not later than

Pop No. 9 dated 4.1.32

C. V. Raghavan 9.4.32
Signature.
Senior Supdt (B.6)
Designation.

Station Madras

Dated 9.4.32

SUBJECT. 4/914

Escheat Udipi Taluk - Gilligar & Manne Vges - Late Ramanna Mallya Pralhada Nayak. Sesharaya Bai & Kotabai village officials

To The Secretary to the Board, Land Revenue & Settlement

The resale held by the [illegible] of Udipi in respect of the properties covered by lots 20 & [illegible], referred to in the E.I. under reference, proved unsuccessful. The failure was mainly due to the influence of the adjoining land-holders against whom the people were unwilling to bid and also to the non-existence of some of the field bunds. I have, therefore, asked the Sub Collector to resell the lands personally if possible, unless the lands are all sold, the amount of reward, cannot be fixed. As soon as the Sub Collector's resale report is received, I shall submit my report.

Mangalore, Dated 12.4.1932.

Collector South [illegible]

11/4/32

11/4

do to (cont.)

Tiles

2) Use electric only to remind them

11-6-32 yes necessary

Cw
16.42

1824.1

R. 11-6-32 25-6-32
=2

1.6

Land Revenue DEPARTMENT.

REMINDER. Returnable Branch. **REPLY.**

12 JUN 1932

To The Secretary to the Board,
Land Revenue & Settlement,
Madras.

To Sir,

BOARD OF REVENUE 1 JUN 1932 LAND REVENUE AND SETTLEMENT

The undersigned is directed to invite attention to the communication noted in the margin and to request that this reminder may be returned at once with information as to—

(1) the stage at which the matter now is,

(2) the date by which a reply may be expected, and

(3) the circumstances which have caused the delay.

2. It is requested that the reply to this reminder may be sent not later than 25-6-32

Office Board

Station Madras

Dated 11-6-32

Signature.

(B6) Designation.

SUBJECT

Pachaiappa (S. Kanakaraya) Editor - Publication & Manuscripts - late Dharmambal's Estate - Petition Pachaiappa Chetty - Remarks

Sir,

I return herewith the papers received with your letter cited and submit that the enclosed petition of Pachaiappa Chetty may be sent to the Collector of Chingleput for report.

11/6/32

Dy 11-6-32

Ref.A.3.No. 7233-31.

South Kanara Collector's Office,
Mangalore, 15th June 1932.

From

B3333

Khan Bahadur J.Hussain, Bar-at-law.,
Collector of South Kanara.



To

The Secretary to the Board,
Land Revenue and Settlement, Madras.

Sir,

Escheat - (South Kanara) - Udipi Taluk - Giliyar/villages and Manur late Phaniamma's property - Declared escheat - Reward - Sheshagir Pai - Petition.

Refce:- B.P.Misc.No. 9, dated 1-1-32 and Board's endorsement No.B. 3084, dated 10-6-32.

The petitioner Sheshagiri Pai claims reward on the ground that he was the first informant in the above escheat case, having given intimation of the fact that the property in question was liable to escheat, in his petition of October 1907. This -- petition appears to have been returned to him by the Board with its endorsement No. 1881, dated 27-11-1907, but the petitioner adds that he resubmitted it to the Board in December 1907. It is not known whether it was actually received back in the Board's Office and if so, whether it was sent to the Collector. It does not find place in the previous escheat records. But its -- existence is quite immaterial because, admittedly, it was first submitted only in October 1907, while there is evidence to show that the escheat enquiry was started much earlier, on the -- petition dated 7-1-1907 of Kalinga alias Narasimha Aithala, -- received in this office about the second week of January 1907. It is clear, therefore, that the petitioner was not the first informant. He is, therefore, not entitled to any reward.

2. The resale of the properties in lots 20 and 21 -- referred to in the B.P. first quoted above, has not yet been held owing to the fact that some of the bunds of the fields in -- question have been removed and also owing to the fact that the highest bidder at the last sale is an influential man. I have asked the Sub Collector to hold the resale himself, if he thinks

such a course more advisable. His final report has not been received. As soon as it is received I shall submit a further report.

for Collector.

16.6.32

ADC(Dn)

file

The Collector may be reminded on 22-7-32 if necessary. He promises a further report in the matter

dy
21/6/32

22.6.32

Ref.A.3.No. 7233/31. South Kanara Collector's Office,

3 Mangalore, 22nd June 1932.

From

Khan Bahadur J.Hussain, Bar-at-law., RP 54 B 3512 Records

Collector of South Kanara.

To



The Secretary to the Board,

Land Revenue and Settlement, Madras.

Sir,

Escheat - (South Kanara) - Udipi taluk - Giliyar and Manur villages - late Phaniamma's property - lots 20 and 21 - Resale - Report submitted.

(B) (M) Refce:- B.P.Mis.No. 9, dated 4-1-32 and Board's endorsement No.B. 3084, dated 10-6-32.

Pop:-2 C/S

In continuation of my letter Ref.A.3.No. 7233-31, dated 15-6-32, I write to state that attempts on the part of the Tahsildar and the Sub Collector to resell the plots comprised in lots 20 and 21 by public auction having failed, the Tahsildar arranged with the original bidder to raise his bid, which he has done after great persuasion with the result that the plots will be sold to him for Rs. 761/- against Rs. 686/- obtained at the original sale. Both the Tahsildar and the Sub Collector recommend the acceptance of this offer. Three of the plots, viz., S.Nos. 209/6B 210/1B & 216/6 are reported to lie fallow and are said to be uncultivable. The annual rental for the plots in question for -- 1931-32 was only 7 muras and 5½ seers of rice. For the current year (1932-33), the rental is still lower, being only 6 muras and 18½ seers. Making allowance for the payment of land revenue -- assessment with cesses, which comes to Rs. 10-12-0, the net income from these lands comes only to about 3 muras of rice. In these circumstances, the price now offered is adequate and I recommend that it may be accepted.

2. Including the price of these two lots, the price fetched for the entire property comes to Rs. 6985/-. I enclose a petition dated 17-7-31 of Kalinga alias Narasimha Aithala, who was the -- first informant in the case and recommend that Government sanction under para ii of B.S.O. 197 may be obtained for payment to him of

5:1 of (X.)

L 1'81 of B.S.O. 6 Vol IV.

a reward of such amount as the Board may be pleased to fix. The petitions of Sheshagiri Pai received with the Board's references quoted above are resubmitted. This office file Dis. 194 R-Rev.-16 is enclosed for reference.

KDO-4 4 7-9 9 (2) (7)

for Collector.

22.6.02

7

B 3/9 80

Ref.A3 No.7233-31.

South Kanara Collector's Office,
Camp Karkal 16th July 1932.



Khan Bahadur J. Hussain, Bar-at-Law,
Collector of South Kanara.

To

The Secretary to the Board,
Land Revenue and Settlement,
M a d r a s.

Sir,

Escheat - Udipi Taluk - Chitrapadi, Giliyar and Bannadi villages - Properties of Mari Nairi and others.

P.S.C.R.

Board's Reference B.No.3333/32-1, dated 8th July 1932.

******

Simultaneously with the submission to the Board of his petition dated 8th December 1931, Sheshagiri Pai, referred to in paragraph 2 of the Board's reference, sent me a copy of it. Enquiry was held in that connection and it was found that none of the properties referred to by him was liable to escheat and also that one of his former petitions on the same subject had been found to be malicious.

For Collector.

16.7.32

D.A.C.(Hr)

Files

197/32

197

perty was liable to be escheated.

3. The Collector therefore in his notification dated 13-3-1909 published in the District Gazette of South Kanara that the aforesaid properties were liable to be escheated and that any person or persons having claims thereto might do so before the Revenue Divisional Officer, Coondapoor No valid claims having been preferred the property was declared an escheat.

4. The properties having been in the possession of several persons a suit for possession was filed by the Collector in the District Munsif's Court, Kundapur vide - records of O. S. No.953/1912 in which the petitioner was not only a witness but was directed by the then Collector in his P.R.1675/Rev.6913 dated 19-8-1913 to render all assistance to the Pleader engaged by Government in that behalf-- viz: M.R.Ry. H.Vasudeva Pai, B.A., B.L., with all documents; with the result the Collector took over possession and that the properties remained in the Collector's possession till 14-11-1931.

5. Another suit filed by Phaniappa Basri against the Collector viz: O.S.No.875/1914 claiming to be reversioner was dismissed.

6. Hence the properties were brought to sale on 14-11-1931 and were knocked down for Rs.6,910/-. As some of these items of properties were considered to have fetched less price the resale of these was ordered by the Collector and the same were finally sold last month bringing a total of Rs.6985/-

7. It will be seen from the records of the original investigation held by the Revenue subordinates, Courts of law in O.S. 953/1912 and 875/1914 that it was the petitioner's

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

B4394

Received 8 AUG 1932

Registered SECY. 8-AUG-1932 793

tract.

Escheat - (S.Kanara) - Udipi Taluk - Giliyar and Manur Villages - Late Phaniamma's ~~property~~ property - Kalinga alias Narasimha Aithala - Informant - Reward - Sanctioned.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. Ms. 1638 dated 5th August, 1932.

ENCLOSURES.
No.

READ the following paper:—

~~From~~ G.O.No.4160 Revenue dated 26-12-1910.
From the Board of Revenue(L.R.&S)Ref.No.B.3333/32-1 dated 8-7-32.

O R D E R:-

The Government sanction the payment of a sum of Rs.100 (Rupees one hundred only) to Kalinga alias Narasimha Aithala as a reward for the information given by him regarding the liability to escheat of the property left by the late Phaniamma in the Udipi taluk of the South Kanara District. The expenditure should be met from the provision made in the current year's budget under "47-R.J.Misc. and unforeseen charges - B.Misc.".

(By Order of the Governor in Council).

J.A.THORNE,
Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue(L.R.&S).
the Finance Department.
the Accountant General, through Finance.

Forwarded.
(By Order).

Superintendent.

M.R.S

Note file

M3
S
Ro (Cofn)

Re Kesar (since deceased) Legal Heirs & Manur vgas - Sale Dharmanna & Somappa - Nos 1015 2041 - Case Confirmation Reward

Sto 3-4 Cn. — B-35(2)/32

To A.S

Please see the notes recorded in file at (B)

Note Nos. 9 ante 4.1.52

2. This papers relates to the confirmation of the sale of lots nos 2041 of the property 4 (2) to the payment of reward for the informant

Item (1)

The resale ordered in (B) has been held. The Collector states that the price now fetched Rs 761- as against Rs 686 of the first sale - is adequate and recommends that it may be accepted. The sale may be approved.

Item (2). Please see para 1 of the Collector's letter at P. 1 Cn & para 2 in the Collector's letter at Pos 3-4 Cn. Sarbagini Rai's claim is clearly untenable. As concluded by the Collr Kalinga alias Narasimha Acharla is to be the first informant.

The price value of the property escheated

P.3 Cn

P.3 Cn.

6.7.52.

Le paid 10% of the price fetched — Rs 693/-. The collector has not stated the amount that should be paid per cent. to him & has left it to be fixed by the Board. The amount is Rs 500/- hence this is the 30 th. mistake. Before any reward is granted to the collector may be requested to state whether his amount ~~may reach the~~ is sufficient and in what the whole of the purchase money has been collected credited into the treasury.

Para 11 of B.S.G. No. 197- P-1181-1930 Vol IV.

3. Sastragiri has referred to certain other items of property that are liable to be escheated. The collector has not offered any remarks. The collector may be asked to investigate into these cases and report in due course if necessary.

vide translation Bundle in at Nos 3-5 & (B)

For orders.

6.7.32.

306/32

A free rendering of the petition put in by Narasimha Achala is put up along with the petition in question. It is seen therefrom that he brought to the notice of Govt officers the death of the widow & the appropriation of the properties by an individual having no claim to them. He is really entitled to a reward under para 11 of B.S.G. 197. But in the said B.S.G. it is laid down ~~that~~ that a reward not exceeding 10 per cent of the value of the escheat

p/p 668 in (Z) 67

may be given to the informant. It is not therefore necessary that he should be given full 10 per cent of the property. In the present case the Court had to institute Civil suits to recover possession and must have spent large sums. Further in these cases of encroachments it may not be desirable to grant large sums as rewards. A lumpsum sum of Rs.100 may be recommended

This amount seems sufficient.

6/7/32

20
2/7/32 S

I agree

A.H. 6.7.32.

SECY.
6 - JUL 1932

Draft
6/7/32

Draft Sanctioned

7/7/32

Petition from Narasimha Pillai
[B 4259/32]

Please see the petition at pp 9-13 ante. The Board has already accepted the recommendation that the petitioner be recognised as the first informer and has recommended Rs 50/- as reward to him. His petition is returned herewith.

Direct 3 of the Bd's diffe
A 1-7-32 5.6 cs.

Issued the orders of the Board.

3/8/32

11/8/32